

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 VALENTIN TRUJILLO,

No. 2:04-cv-00789-MCE-DAD P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


20 On February 6, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. On March 11, 2008,
23 petitioner was granted an extension of time to March 28, 2008, in which to file objections. The
24 time period has now expired and neither party has filed objections to the findings and
25 recommendations.

26 /////

1 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3 ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 6, 2008, are adopted in full; and
5 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

6 Dated: April 14, 2008

7 
8 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26